

Wigner-Weyl isomorphism for quantum mechanics on Lie groups

N. Mukunda*

Centre for Theoretical Studies, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India

G. Marmo[†]

Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Universita di Napoli Federico II and INFN, Via Cinzia, 80126

Napoli, Italy

Alessandro Zampini[‡]

Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Universita di Napoli Federico II and INFN, Via Cinzia, 80126

Napoli, Italy

S. Chaturvedi[§]

School of Physics, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad 500 046, India

R.Simon**

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, C. I. T. Campus, Chennai 600 113, India

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

The Wigner-Weyl isomorphism for quantum mechanics on a compact simple

*email: nmukunda@cts.iisc.ernet.in

[†]email: Giuseppe.Marmo@na.infn.it

[‡]email: Alessandro.Zampini@na.infn.it

[§]e-mail: scsp@uohyd.ernet.in

**email: simon@imsc.res.in

Lie group G is developed in detail. Several New features are shown to arise which have no counterparts in the familiar Cartesian case. Notable among these is the notion of a ‘semiquantised phase space’, a structure on which the Weyl symbols of operators turn out to be naturally defined and, figuratively speaking, located midway between the classical phase space T^*G and the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on G . General expressions for the star product for Weyl symbols are presented and explicitly worked out for the angle-angular momentum case.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the method of Wigner distributions [1], which describes every state of a quantum mechanical system by a corresponding real quasi probability density on the classical phase space, is dual to the Weyl mapping [2] of classical dynamical variables to quantum mechanical operators. Together they provide the Wigner-Weyl isomorphism, whereby both states and operators in quantum mechanics can be given c-number descriptions on the classical phase space. The trace of the product of two operators is then calculable as the integral of the product of the two corresponding ‘Weyl symbols’ or phase space functions. Combined with the work of Moyal [3], which shows how products and commutators of operators are expressed in phase space language, this entire development may be called the Wigner-Weyl-Moyal or WWM method in quantum mechanics and has been instrumental in giving rise to the fertile subject of deformation quantisation [4]. An important feature of the Wigner distribution is that while it is not by itself a phase space probability density, its marginals obtained by respectively integrating over momenta or over coordinates do reproduce the quantum mechanical expressions for probability densities in coordinate and in momentum space respectively.

The WWM method has been studied most extensively in the case of Cartesian systems in quantum mechanics. By this we mean those systems whose configuration space Q is $\mathbb{R}^n$

for some integer $n \geq 1$. The classical phase space is then $T^*Q \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. While Schrödinger wave functions are square integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$, both Wigner distributions and Weyl symbols are functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Quantum kinematics can be expressed via the Heisenberg canonical commutation relations for Cartesian coordinates and their conjugate momenta, or via the exponentiated Weyl form using families of unitary operators. An important feature in this case is that as far as their eigenvalue spectra are concerned, the momenta do not experience any quantisation on their own; they account for the second factor in $T^*Q \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2n} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Furthermore we have in this case the Stone-von Neumann theorem on the uniqueness of the irreducible representation of the Heisenberg commutation relations, and the important roles of the groups $Sp(2n, R)$ and $Mp(2n)$ corresponding to linear canonical transformations on coordinates and momenta.

There has been for some time considerable interest in developing the Wigner-Weyl isomorphism for other kinds of quantum systems, that is, for non Cartesian systems [5]- [16]. In these cases, typically the underlying quantum kinematics cannot be expressed by Heisenberg-type commutation relations. The situations studied include the quantum mechanics of an angle-angular momentum pair, where the configuration space is $Q = \mathbb{S}^1$ [17], [18], and finite state quantum systems corresponding to a finite dimensional Hilbert space [19], [20]. More recently, the method of Wigner distributions has been developed for quantum systems whose configuration space is a compact simple Lie group; and in the discrete case when it is a finite group of odd order [21] [22]. In all these departures from the Cartesian situation, an important aspect is the occurrence of new features which do not show up at all with Cartesian variables.

The aim of the present work is to develop in detail the Wigner-Weyl isomorphism for quantum mechanics on a compact simple Lie group. Here the configuration space Q is a (compact simple) Lie group G , so the corresponding classical phase space is $T^*G \simeq G \times \underline{G}^*$, where $\underline{G}^*$ is the dual to the Lie algebra $\underline{G}$ of G . In the quantum situation, Schrödinger wave functions are complex square integrable functions on G , and observables or dynamical variables are linear hermitian operators acting on such functions. The replacements for the

canonical Heisenberg commutation relations are best formulated using the (commutative) algebra of suitable smooth functions on G , and (say) the left regular representation of G acting on functions on itself. The natural question that arises in trying to set up a Wigner-Weyl isomorphism in this case is whether quantum states and operators are to be described using suitable functions on the classical phase space T^*G . In [21] an over complete Wigner distribution formalism for quantum states, which transforms in a reasonable way under left and right group actions and also reproduces the natural marginal probability distributions, has been developed. The methods developed there are here exploited to set up a Wigner-Weyl isomorphism in full detail, disclosing many interesting differences compared to the Cartesian case. In particular we find that this isomorphism does not directly utilise c -number functions on T^*G at all, but instead uses a combination of functions on G and operators on a simpler Hilbert space, standing in a sense midway between T^*G and the Hilbert space of the quantum system. This feature is traceable to the non Abelian nature of G , something which is absent in the Cartesian case when Q is the Abelian group $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The material of this paper is organised as follows. In Section II we briefly recapitulate key features of the Wigner-Weyl isomorphism for the Cartesian and angle-angular momentum cases. This sets the stage for Section III where we develop the quantum kinematics for situations where the configuration space is a compact Lie group and thus go beyond the Abelian cases discussed in Section I. This analysis leads to a proper identification of the analogues of the ‘momenta’ of the Cartesian case and helps set up the Wigner distribution for such situations possessing properties expected of a Wigner distribution. The Wigner distributions so defined have a certain degree of overcompleteness about them, a circumstance forced by the non Abelian nature of the underlying group G . A key ingredient in this construction is the notion of the ‘mid point’ of two group elements introduced in an earlier work [21]. In Section IV a more compact description in terms of Weyl symbols devoid of any redundancies is developed and correspondences facilitating transition from the Cartesian case to more general situations are established. The results of Section IV are exploited in Section V towards defining a star product between Weyl symbols for operators and the

general expression for the star product is explicitly worked out for the non Cartesian, albeit Abelian case of angle-angular momentum. Section VI is devoted to analysing the minimal structure on which the Weyl symbols for operators find their natural definition. This leads to the concept of a ‘non commutative cotangent space’ or a ‘semiquantised phase space’ the ramifications of which are examined further towards highlighting the structural similarity between classical phase space functions and the Weyl symbols. A short appendix contains some technical details concerning results used in Section V.

II. THE WIGNER-WEYL ISOMORPHISM : CARTESIAN AND ANGLE-ANGULAR MOMENTUM CASES

In this Section we recall briefly the relevant structures needed to set up the Wigner-Weyl isomorphism for Cartesian quantum mechanics. This is to facilitate comparison with the Lie group case later on. For simplicity we choose one degree of freedom only, as the extension to $Q = \mathbb{R}^n$ is straight forward. We also recall the angle-angular momentum case, $Q = \mathbb{S}^1$, where we already see significant differences from the Cartesian case; these increase when we go to $Q = G$.

One dimensional Cartesian quantum mechanics

The canonical Heisenberg commutation relation between hermitian coordinate and momentum operators $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$, fixing the kinematics, is

$$[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i. \quad (2.1)$$

In the unitary Weyl form this is expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} U(p) &= \exp(i p \hat{q}) \quad , \quad V(q) = \exp(-i q \hat{p}) : \\ U(p) V(q) &= V(q) U(p) e^{i q p}, \quad q, p \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

In the Cartesian case the exponentials can be combined to define a phase space displacement operator

$$\begin{aligned}
D(q, p) &= U(p) V(q) e^{-iqp/2} = V(q) U(p) e^{iqp/2} \\
&= \exp(i p \hat{q} - i q \hat{p}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

However this cannot be done even in the single angle-angular momentum pair case, and also when we treat the Lie group case. We therefore use expressions in which the exponentials are kept separate.

The standard form of the unique irreducible representation of eqs. (2.1,2.2) uses the Hilbert space of square integrable functions $\psi(q)$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Introducing as usual an ideal basis of eigenvectors of $\hat{q}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} &= L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \{\psi(q) \mid \|\psi\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq |\psi(q)|^2 < \infty\}, \\
\psi(q) &= \langle q | \psi \rangle, \quad \hat{q} |q\rangle = q |q\rangle, \\
\langle q' | q \rangle &= \delta(q' - q).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

On such $\psi(q)$ (subject to relevant domain conditions) the actions of $\hat{q}, \hat{p}, U(p), V(q)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
(\hat{q} \psi)(q) &= q \psi(q), \quad (\hat{p} \psi)(q) = -i \frac{d}{dq} \psi(q); \\
(U(p') \psi)(q) &= e^{ip'q} \psi(q), \quad (V(q') \psi)(q) = \psi(q - q').
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

The momentum space description of $|\psi\rangle$ uses the Fourier transform of $\psi(q)$; in terms of the ideal eigenstates $|p\rangle$ for $\hat{p}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}(p) &= \langle p | \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dq}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-iqp) \psi(q), \\
\|\psi\|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp |\tilde{\psi}(p)|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

The displacement operators (2.2) form a complete trace orthonormal set (in the continuum sense) in the space of operators on $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\text{Tr}((U(p')V(q'))^\dagger U(p)V(q)) = 2\pi \delta(q' - q) \delta(p' - p). \tag{2.7}$$

The completeness property will be used later.

The definitions of the Wigner distribution for a normalised pure state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$, or more generally for a mixed state with density operator $\hat{\rho}$, are

$$\begin{aligned} W(q, p) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \psi \left(q - \frac{1}{2}q' \right) \psi \left(q + \frac{1}{2}q' \right)^* \exp(i p q'), \\ W(q, p) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \langle q - \frac{1}{2}q' | \hat{\rho} | q + \frac{1}{2}q' \rangle \exp(i p q'), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

(The dependences on $|\psi\rangle$, $\hat{\rho}$ are left implicit). While $W(q, p)$ is real though not always non negative, the recovery of the marginal position and momentum space probability densities is assured by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dp W(q, p) = \langle q | \hat{\rho} | q \rangle, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq W(q, p) = \langle p | \hat{\rho} | p \rangle. \quad (2.9)$$

It is possible to express $W(q, p)$ in a more compact form by introducing a family of hermitian operators $\hat{W}(q, p)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ with interesting algebraic properties. They are essentially the double Fourier transforms of the displacement operators (2.2):

$$\begin{aligned} W(q, p) &= \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{W}(q, p)), \\ \hat{W}(q, p) &= \hat{W}(q, p)^\dagger = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' dp' U(p') V(q') e^{ipq' - ip'(q + \frac{1}{2}q')}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

It has been shown in [17] that, apart from sharing the trace orthonormality property (2.7) which is preserved by the Fourier transformation,

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{W}(q', p') \hat{W}(q, p)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \delta(q' - q) \delta(p' - p), \quad (2.11)$$

we have the following behaviours under anticommutation with $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$:

$$\frac{1}{2} \{\hat{q}, \hat{W}(q, p)\} = q \hat{W}(q, p), \quad \frac{1}{2} \{\hat{p}, \hat{W}(q, p)\} = p \hat{W}(q, p). \quad (2.12)$$

Thus we may regard $\hat{W}(q, p)$ as operator analogues of Dirac delta functions concentrated at individual phase space points. In [19] they have been called ‘phase point operators’.

Turning to the Weyl map, it takes a general classical dynamical variable, a (square integrable) function $a(q, p)$ on the classical phase space, to a corresponding (Hilbert-Schmidt) operator $\hat{A}$ on $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
a(q, p) &\rightarrow \tilde{a}(p', q') = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \, dp \, a(q, p) \, e^{i(pq' - qp')} \\
&\rightarrow \hat{A} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq' \, dp' \tilde{a}(p', q') \, U(p') \, V(q') e^{-iq'p'/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

The important property of this map is that traces of operators on $\mathcal{H}$ go into integrals over phase space:

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{A}^\dagger \hat{B}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \, dp \, a(q, p)^* b(q, p). \tag{2.14}$$

One can immediately see that the relation between $a(q, p)$ and $\hat{A}$ is given by

$$\hat{A} = 2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \, dp \, a(q, p) \, \hat{W}(q, p), \tag{2.15}$$

thus establishing that the Wigner and Weyl maps are inverses of one another. Indeed extending the definition of the Wigner distribution (2.10) to a general operator $\hat{A}$ on $\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$a(q, p) = \text{Tr}(\hat{A} \hat{W}(q, p)). \tag{2.16}$$

It is this kind of isomorphism that we wish to develop when $\mathbb{R}$ is replaced by a compact simple Lie group G .

The angle-angular momentum case

We now trace the changes which appear if we replace the Cartesian variable $q \in \mathbb{R}$ by an angle $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)$. The corresponding hermitian operator is denoted by $\hat{\theta}$, with eigenvalues θ ; its canonical conjugate $\hat{M}$ has integer eigenvalues $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. Thus $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ unlike the Cartesian p , so $\hat{M}$ is ‘already quantised’. The replacements for eqs. (2.4, 2.6) are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} &= L^2(\mathbb{S}^1) = \{\psi(\theta) \mid \|\psi\|^2 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta |\psi(\theta)|^2 < \infty\}, \\
\psi(\theta) &= \langle \theta | \psi \rangle, \quad \langle \theta' | \theta \rangle = \delta(\theta' - \theta), \quad \hat{\theta} | \theta \rangle = \theta | \theta \rangle, \\
\psi_m &= \langle m | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta e^{-im\theta} \psi(\theta), \\
\|\psi\|^2 &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\psi_m|^2; \\
\hat{M} | m \rangle &= m | m \rangle, \quad \langle \theta | m \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{im\theta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

In place of the Heisenberg commutation relation (2.1), we have only the exponentiated Weyl version:

$$\begin{aligned} U(m) &= \exp(i m \hat{\theta}) \quad , V(\theta) = \exp(-i \theta \hat{M}) : \\ U(m) V(\theta) &= V(\theta) U(m) e^{im\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

With the actions

$$\begin{aligned} (U(m') \psi)(\theta) &= e^{im'\theta} \psi(\theta), \\ (V(\theta') \psi)(\theta) &= \psi([\theta - \theta']), \\ [\theta - \theta'] &= \theta - \theta' \mod 2\pi, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

we have an irreducible system on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$. The analogues of the displacement operators (2.3) are now

$$U(m) V(\theta) e^{-im\theta/2} = V(\theta) U(m) e^{im\theta/2}, \quad (2.20)$$

but here the exponents cannot be combined. They do however form a complete trace orthonormal system :

$$\text{Tr}((U(m')V(\theta'))^\dagger U(m)V(\theta)) = 2\pi \delta_{mm'} \delta(\theta' - \theta). \quad (2.21)$$

With this preparation we can turn to the definition of the Wigner distribution and the Weyl map. For a given density operator $\hat{\rho}$ on $\mathcal{H}$, the former is

$$W(\theta, m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta' \left\langle \theta - \frac{1}{2}\theta' | \hat{\rho} | \theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta' \right\rangle \exp(i m \theta'). \quad (2.22)$$

We see immediately that this is not a function on the classical phase space $T^*\mathbb{S}^1 \simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, which is a cylinder, but on a ‘partially quantised’ space $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}$. We may regard this space as standing somewhere in between $T^*\mathbb{S}^1$ and the fully quantum mechanical Hilbert space and operator set up. The marginals are properly reproduced in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta W(\theta, m) &= \langle m | \hat{\rho} | m \rangle, \\ \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} W(\theta, m) &= \langle \theta | \hat{\rho} | \theta \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

We can display $W(\theta, m)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} W(\theta, m) &= \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{W}(\theta, m)), \\ \hat{W}(\theta, m) &= \hat{W}(\theta, m)^\dagger = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{m' \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta' \ U(m') \ V(\theta') e^{im\theta' - im'(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta')}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

and like their Cartesian counterparts these operators form a trace orthonormal system:

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{W}(\theta', m') \hat{W}(\theta, m)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \delta(\theta' - \theta) \ \delta_{mm'}. \quad (2.25)$$

In a similar spirit, the Weyl map now takes any ‘classical’ function $a(\theta, m)$ on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{Z}$ into an operator on $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} a(\theta, m) &\rightarrow \tilde{a}(m', \theta') = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \ a(\theta, m) \ e^{i(m\theta' - m'\theta)}, \\ &\rightarrow \hat{A} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m' \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta' \ \tilde{a}(m', \theta') \ U(m') \ V(\theta') e^{-im'\theta'/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Then the trace operation becomes, as in (2.14):

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{A}^\dagger \ \hat{B}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \ a(\theta, m)^* \ b(\theta, m). \quad (2.27)$$

Combining eqs.(2.24,2.26) we are able to get the analogue to (2.15):

$$\hat{A} = 2\pi \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \ a(\theta, m) \ \hat{W}(\theta, m). \quad (2.28)$$

In this way the similarities as well as important differences compared to the Cartesian case are easily seen.

III. QUANTUM KINEMATICS IN THE LIE GROUP CASE AND THE WIGNER DISTRIBUTION

Let G be a (non Abelian) compact simple Lie group of order n , with elements $g, g', \dots$ and composition law $g', g \rightarrow g'g$. To set up the kinematics appropriate for a quantum system with configuration space $Q = G$, it is simplest to begin with the Hilbert space of ‘Schrödinger’ wave functions. The normalised left and right invariant volume element on G

is written as dg . For suitable functions $f(g)$ on G we have the invariances and normalisation condition

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G dg f(g) &= \int_G dg (f(g'g) \text{ or } f(gg') \text{ or } f(g^{-1})), \\ \int_G dg &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Correspondingly we can introduce a Dirac delta function on G characterised by

$$\int_G dg (\delta(g'^{-1}g) \text{ or } \delta(gg'^{-1}) \text{ or } \delta(g^{-1}g') \text{ or } \delta(g'g^{-1}))f(g) = f(g'). \quad (3.2)$$

Thus $\delta(g)$ is a delta function concentrated at the identity element $e \in G$.

We take the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ for the quantum system to be made up of all complex square integrable functions on G :

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(G) = \left\{ \psi(g) \in C \mid \|\psi\|^2 = \int_G dg |\psi(g)|^2 < \infty \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

A convenient basis of ideal vectors $|g\rangle$ can be introduced such that for a general $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ we may write

$$\psi(g) = \langle g | \psi \rangle, \quad \langle g' | g \rangle = \delta(g'g^{-1}). \quad (3.4)$$

The notion of ‘position coordinates’ is intrinsically captured by the commutative algebra representing real valued smooth functions $f(g)$ on G , i.e $f \in \mathcal{F}(G)$. To each such function we associate a hermitian multiplicative operator $\hat{f}$ on $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f \in \mathcal{F}(G) &\rightarrow \hat{f} = \int_G dg f(g) |g\rangle \langle g|, \\ (\hat{f}\psi)(g) &= f(g)\psi(g). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Thus all these operators commute with one another, being ‘diagonal’ in the position description $\psi(g)$ of $|\psi\rangle$.

To complete the kinematics and to obtain an irreducible system of operators on $\mathcal{H}$ we have to adjoin suitable ‘momenta’. Here we have two choices, corresponding to the left and

right translations of G on itself by group action. We choose the former, and so define a family of unitary operators $V(g)$ to give the left regular representation of G :

$$\begin{aligned} (V(g')\psi)(g) &= \psi(g'^{-1}g), \\ V(g')|g\rangle &= |g'g\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

They obey

$$\begin{aligned} V(g')V(g) &= V(g'g), \\ V(g)^\dagger V(g) &= I. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

To identify their hermitian generators, we introduce a basis $\{e_r\}$ in the Lie algebra $\underline{G}$ of G . Using the exponential map $\underline{G} \rightarrow G$, we write a general $g \in G$ as

$$g = \exp(\alpha^r e_r), \tag{3.8}$$

the sum on r being from 1 to n . The generators $\hat{J}_r$ of $V(g)$ are then identified by

$$V(\exp(\alpha^r e_r)) = \exp(-i\alpha^r \hat{J}_r). \tag{3.9}$$

These are hermitian operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, obeying commutation relations involving the structure constants C_{rs}^t of G :

$$[\hat{J}_r, \hat{J}_s] = i C_{rs}^t \hat{J}_t. \tag{3.10}$$

On Schrödinger wave functions $\psi(g)$ each $\hat{J}_r$ acts as a first order partial differential operator; indeed if the (right invariant) vector fields generating the left action of G on itself are written as X_r , then we have

$$\hat{J}_r \psi(g) = i X_r \psi(g). \tag{3.11}$$

The commutation relations (3.10) are direct consequences of similar commutation relations among the vector fields X_r .

The analogue of the Cartesian Heisenberg-Weyl system (2.1,2.2) is now obtained by putting together the following ingredients:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}(G) &\rightarrow \hat{f}_1 \hat{f}_2 = \hat{f}_2 \hat{f}_1; \\
f \in \mathcal{F}(G), g' \in G &\rightarrow V(g') \hat{f} V(g')^{-1} = \hat{f}', \\
f'(g) &= f(g'^{-1}g);
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

along with the representation property (3.7) for $V(g)$. This is in the spirit of the unitary Weyl system (2.2). In infinitesimal terms we have

$$[\hat{J}_r, \hat{f}] = i(\widehat{X_r f}), \tag{3.13}$$

combined with (3.10). The space $\mathcal{H}$ is indeed irreducible with respect to the family of operators $\{\hat{f}, V(\cdot)\}$ or equivalently $\{\hat{f}, \hat{J}_r\}$.

We can express ‘functions of position’ also via unitary operators in the Weyl spirit as follows: for each real $f \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, we define the unitary operator $U(f)$ by

$$U(f) = e^{i\hat{f}} : (U(f)\psi)(g) = e^{if(g)}\psi(g). \tag{3.14}$$

It is then easy to see that we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
(U(f)V(g')\psi)(g) &= e^{if(g)}\psi(g'^{-1}g), \\
(V(g')U(f)\psi)(g) &= e^{if(g'^{-1}g)}\psi(g'^{-1}g), \\
(U(f)V(g')(V(g')U(f))^{\dagger}\psi)(g) \\
&= e^{if(g)-if(g'^{-1}g)}\psi(g),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

which is in the spirit of eqns.(2.2,18), except that f is not restricted to be linear in any coordinate variables.

We see here that unlike in the n -dimensional Cartesian case the ‘canonical momenta’ are a non commutative system. Therefore the analogue or generalisation of the single momentum eigenstate $|\underline{p}\rangle$ in the Cartesian situation will turn out to be a generally multi-dimensional hermitian irreducible representation of (3.10), namely the generators of some unitary irreducible representation (UIR) of G . We will see this in detail as we proceed.

For completeness we should mention the operators giving the right regular representation of G . These are, say, $\tilde{V}(g)$, defined by and obeying

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{V}(g')\psi)(g) &= \psi(gg'), \\
\tilde{V}(g') |g\rangle &= |gg'^{-1}\rangle; \\
\tilde{V}(g') \tilde{V}(g) &= \tilde{V}(g'g); \\
V(g') \tilde{V}(g) &= \tilde{V}(g) V(g').
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

However as is well known their generators $\hat{J}_r$ are determined by $\hat{J}_r$ and the matrices $(\mathcal{D}_r^s(g))$ of the adjoint representation of G , by

$$\hat{J}_r = -\mathcal{D}_r^s(g) \hat{J}_s. \tag{3.17}$$

Therefore it suffices to regard the collection of operators $\{\hat{f}, V(\cdot)\}$ as providing the replacement for the Heisenberg-Weyl system in the present case.

Complementary to the ‘position’ basis $|g\rangle$ for $\mathcal{H}$ is a ‘momentum’ basis. This can be set up using the Peter-Weyl theorem involving all the UIR’s of G . We denote the various UIR’s by j , with dimension N_j ; we label rows and columns within the j^{th} UIR by magnetic quantum numbers m, n . Thus the unitary matrix representing $g \in G$ in the j^{th} UIR is

$$g \rightarrow (D_{mn}^j(g)). \tag{3.18}$$

In general each of j, m, n is a collection of several independent discrete quantum numbers, and there is a freedom of unitary changes in the choice of m, n . In addition to unitarity and the composition law :

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_n D_{mn}^j(g)^* D_{m'n'}^j(g) &= \delta_{mm'}, \\
\sum_n D_{mn}^j(g') D_{nn'}^j(g) &= D_{mn'}^j(g'g),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

we have orthogonality and completeness properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_G dg D_{mn}^j(g) D_{m'n'}^{j'}(g)^* &= \delta_{jj'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'} / N_j, \\
\sum_{jmn} N_j D_{mn}^j(g) D_{mn}^j(g')^* &= \delta(g^{-1}g').
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Then a simultaneous complete reduction of both representations $V(\cdot), \tilde{V}(\cdot)$ of G is achieved by passing to a new orthonormal basis $|jmn\rangle$ for $\mathcal{H}$. Its definition and basic properties are :

$$\begin{aligned}
|jmn\rangle &= N_j^{1/2} \int_G dg D_{mn}^j(g) |g\rangle, \\
\langle j'm'n' | jmn\rangle &= \delta_{j'j} \delta_{m'm} \delta_{n'n}; \\
V(g) |jmn\rangle &= \sum_{m'} D_{mm'}^j(g^{-1}) |jm'n\rangle, \\
\tilde{V}(g) |jmn\rangle &= \sum_{n'} D_{n'n}^j(g) |jmn'\rangle. \tag{3.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore in $|jmn\rangle$ the index n counts the multiplicity of occurrence of the j^{th} UIR in the reduction of $V(\cdot)$ and m performs a similar function in the reduction of $\tilde{V}(\cdot)$.

We now regard the sets of N_j^2 states $\{|jmn\rangle\}$ for each fixed j as ‘momentum eigenstates’ in the present context. This means that the n -dimensional real momentum eigenvalue $\underline{p}$ in Cartesian quantum mechanics is now replaced by a collection of (discrete) quantum numbers jmn . A vector $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ with wave function $\psi(g)$ is given in the momentum description by a set of expansion coefficients ψ_{jmn} :

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi \in \mathcal{H} &\rightarrow \psi_{jmn} = \langle jmn | \psi \rangle \\
&= N_j^{1/2} \int_G dg D_{mn}^j(g)^* \psi(g), \\
\|\psi\|^2 &= \sum_{jmn} |\psi_{jmn}|^2. \tag{3.22}
\end{aligned}$$

A normalised $|\psi\rangle$ then determines two complementary probability distributions, $|\psi(g)|^2$ on G and $|\psi_{jmn}|^2$ on ‘momentum space’.

In this situation a (provisional and overcomplete) Wigner distribution $\tilde{W}(g; jmn m'n')$ can be defined for each $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ (or for any mixed state $\hat{\rho}$ as well). (Here we depart slightly from the notation in [21], so that our later expressions are more concise). It transforms in a reasonable manner when $|\psi\rangle$ is acted upon by $V(\cdot)$ or $\tilde{V}(\cdot)$; and it reproduces in a simple and direct way the two probability distributions determined by $|\psi\rangle$, as marginals. We give only the latter property here:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{jmn} \tilde{W}(g; jmn \ mn) &= |\psi(g)|^2, \\ \int_G dg \tilde{W}(g; jmn \ m'n') &= \psi_{jm'n'} \psi_{jmn}^*. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

The right hand side of the second relation is a natural generalisation of $|\psi_{jmn}|^2$, to allow for freedom in the choice of labels m, n within each UIR j . The expression for this Wigner distribution involves a function $s : G \times G \rightarrow G$ obeying certain conditions and is

$$\tilde{W}(g; jmn \ m'n') = N_j \int_G dg' \int_G dg'' \psi(g'') \psi(g')^* D_{m'n'}^j(g'')^* D_{mn}^j(g') \delta(g^{-1} s(g', g'')). \quad (3.24)$$

Reality in the Cartesian or single angle-angular momentum cases is replaced here by hermiticity :

$$\tilde{W}(g; jmn \ m'n')^* = \tilde{W}(g; jm'n' \ mn). \quad (3.25)$$

The conditions on $s(g', g'')$ to ensure that all the above properties are secured are:

$$\begin{aligned} g', g'' &\in G \rightarrow s(g', g'') = s(g'', g') \in G; \\ s(g_1 g' g_2, g_1 g'' g_2) &= g_1 s(g', g'') g_2, \\ s(g', g') &= g'. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

We can simplify the problem of constructing such a function by exploiting the second of these relations to write

$$s(g', g'') = g' s(e, g'^{-1} g'') = g' s_0(g'^{-1} g''), \quad (3.27)$$

so the function $s_0(g)$ of a single group element has to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} s_0(e) &= e, \\ s_0(g^{-1}) &= g^{-1} s_0(g) = s_0(g) g^{-1}, \\ s_0(g' g g'^{-1}) &= g' s_0(g) g'^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

The solution proposed in [21] is to take $s_0(g)$ to be the mid point along the geodesic from the identity $e \in G$ to g . These geodesics are determined starting from the invariant Cartan-Killing metric on G , and have the necessary behaviours under left and right group actions

to ensure that all of eqs. (3.26,3.28) are obeyed. In the exponential notation of eq.(3.8) we have

$$s_0(\exp(\alpha^r e_r)) = \exp(\frac{1}{2}\alpha^r e_r), \quad (3.29)$$

since it is true that geodesics passing through the identity are one parameter subgroups. With this explicit construction we have the additional relation

$$\begin{aligned} s_0(g^{-1}) &= s_0(g)^{-1}, \\ \text{ie., } s_0(g) s_0(g) &= g. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Thus $s_0(g)$ is the (almost everywhere unique) square root of g and $s(g', g'')$ is a kind of symmetric square root of g' and g'' .

We shall explore the properties of $\tilde{W}(g; jmn m'n')$ in the next Section, especially the sense in which it contains information about $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ in an overcomplete manner. This will then lead to the Wigner-Weyl isomorphism for quantum mechanics on a (compact simple) Lie group.

IV. THE WIGNER-WEYL ISOMORPHISM IN THE LIE GROUP CASE

The definition (3.24) can be immediately extended to associate an object $\tilde{W}_{\hat{A}}(g; jmn m'n')$ with every linear operator $\hat{A}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ (of Hilbert-Schmidt class). In terms of the integral kernel $\langle g''|\hat{A}|g'\rangle$ of $\hat{A}$ we have

$$\tilde{W}_{\hat{A}}(g; jmn m'n') = N_j \int_G dg' \int_G dg'' \langle g''|\hat{A}|g'\rangle D_{m'n'}^j(g'')^* D_{mn}^j(g') \delta(g^{-1}s(g', g'')). \quad (4.1)$$

It is indeed the case that this expression describes or determines $\hat{A}$ completely, however this happens in an over complete manner. There are certain linear relations obeyed by $\tilde{W}_{\hat{A}}(g; jmn m'n')$ which have an $\hat{A}$ independent form. We now obtain these relations, then proceed to construct a simpler expression which contains complete information about $\hat{A}$ without redundancy.

The Dirac delta function in the integral on the right in eq.(4.1) means that the only contributions to the integral are from the points where

$$s(g', g'') = g. \quad (4.2)$$

Writing this as

$$s_0(g'^{-1}g'') = g'^{-1}g, \quad (4.3)$$

and then using eq.(3.30), we see that, say, in the g'' integration the delta function picks out the single point determined by

$$\begin{aligned} g'^{-1}g'' &= (g'^{-1}g)^2 \\ \text{ie, } g'' &= gg'^{-1}g. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

This means that $\delta(g^{-1}s(g', g''))$ is some Jacobian factor times $\delta(g''^{-1}gg'^{-1}g)$. We are therefore permitted to use this 'value' for g'' elsewhere in the integrand, so

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{W}_{\hat{A}}(g; jmn \ m'n') &= N_j \int_G dg' \int_G dg'' < gg'^{-1}g | \hat{A} | g' > D_{m'n'}^j(gg'^{-1}g)^* D_{mn}^j(g') \times \\ &\delta(g^{-1}s(g', g'')). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Transferring the g -dependent representation matrices from the right to the left and using unitarity, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m'n'} D_{m'm''}^j(g) D_{n''n'}^j(g) \tilde{W}_{\hat{A}}(g; jmn \ m'n') \\ &= N_j \int_G dg' \int_G dg'' \delta(g^{-1}s(g', g'')) < gg'^{-1}g | \hat{A} | g' > D_{n''m''}^j(g') D_{mn}^j(g'). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

It is now clear we have symmetry of the expression on the left hand side under the simultaneous interchanges $m \leftrightarrow n''$, $n \leftrightarrow m''$, a statement independent of $\hat{A}$. This is the sense in which $\tilde{W}_{\hat{A}}(g; jmn \ m'n')$ contains information about $\hat{A}$ in an overcomplete manner, and this happens only when G is nonabelian.

Taking advantage of this, we now associate to $\hat{A}$ the simpler quantity

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\hat{A}}(g; jmm') &= N_j^{-1} \sum_n \tilde{W}_{\hat{A}}(g; jmn \ m'n) \\
&= \int_G dg' \int_G dg'' \langle g'' | \hat{A} | g' \rangle D_{mm'}^j(g' g''^{-1}) \delta(g^{-1} s(g', g'')).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

We shall call this the Weyl symbol corresponding to the operator $\hat{A}$. The passage $\hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{A}^\dagger$ results in

$$W_{\hat{A}^\dagger}(g; jmm') = W_{\hat{A}}(g; jm'm)^* . \tag{4.8}$$

It is easy to obtain the transformation properties of the Weyl symbol under conjugation of $\hat{A}$ by either the left or the right regular representation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{A}' &= V(g_0) \hat{A} V(g_0)^{-1} : \\
W_{\hat{A}'}(g; jmm') &= \sum_{m_1 m'_1} D_{mm_1}^j(g_0) D_{m'_1 m'}^j(g_0)^* W_{\hat{A}}(g_0^{-1} g; jm_1 m'_1); \\
\hat{A}'' &= \tilde{V}(g_0) \hat{A} \tilde{V}(g_0)^{-1} : \\
W_{\hat{A}''}(g; jmm') &= W_{\hat{A}}(gg_0; jmm').
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Next we can verify that if $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ are any two Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $\mathcal{H}$, then $\text{Tr}(\hat{A}\hat{B})$ can be simply expressed in terms of their Weyl symbols:

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{A} \hat{B}) = \sum_{jmm'} N_j \int_G dg W_{\hat{A}}(g; jmm') W_{\hat{B}}(g; jm'm). \tag{4.10}$$

The proof exploits the completeness relation in (3.20) and the properties (3.26) of $s(g', g'')$. This key result proves that $\hat{A}$ is indeed completely determined by its Weyl symbol : $\hat{A}$ is certainly determined by the values of $\text{Tr}(\hat{A}\hat{B})$ for all $\hat{B}$, and the latter are known once the Weyl symbols are known.

Before expressing the Weyl symbol of $\hat{A}$ in a form analogous to eq.(2.16), we give examples for some simple choices of $\hat{A}$:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\underline{\hat{A}} & \underline{W_{\hat{A}}(g; jmm')} \\
\hat{f} = \int_G dg f(g) |g \rangle \langle g| & f(g) \delta_{mm'} \\
V(g_0) & D_{mm'}^j(g_0^{-1}) \\
\tilde{V}(g_0) & D_{mm'}^j(gg_0g^{-1}) \\
\hat{f} V(g_0) & f(s_0(g_0)g) D_{mm'}^j(g_0^{-1}) \\
V(g_0) \hat{f} & f(s_0(g_0)^{-1}g) D_{mm'}^j(g_0^{-1})
\end{array} \tag{4.11}$$

We shall comment later on the structure of these Weyl symbols. However it is already instructive to compare these results with the Cartesian situation

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\underline{\hat{A}} & \underline{W(q, p)} \\
\hat{f} = f(\hat{q}) & f(q) \\
V(q') & \exp(-ipq') \\
\hat{f} V(q') = f(\hat{q})V(q') & f(q + q'/2) \exp(-ipq') \\
V(q') \hat{f} & f(q - q'/2) \exp(-ipq')
\end{array} \tag{4.12}$$

Now we turn to the problem of expressing the Weyl symbol of $\hat{A}$ in the form

$$W_{\hat{A}}(g; jmm') = \text{Tr}(\hat{A} \hat{W}(g; jmm')) \tag{4.13}$$

for a suitable operator $\hat{W}(g; jmm')$. This would be the analogue of $\hat{W}(q, p)$ in eq. (2.10). Since the kernel $\langle g'' | \hat{A} | g' \rangle$ is quite general, eq.(4.13) and eq.(4.7) imply :

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle g' | \hat{W}(g; jmm') | g'' \rangle &= D_{mm'}^j(g' g''^{-1}) \delta(g^{-1} s(g', g'')) \\
&= D_{mm'}^j(g' g''^{-1}) \delta(g^{-1} s_0(g'' g'^{-1}) g') .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

We shall synthesise $\hat{W}(g; jmm')$ in steps. We begin by defining a family of commuting operators $U(jmn)$ in the manner of eq.(3.5), all of them diagonal in the ‘position’ basis :

$$(U(jmn)\psi)(g) = D_{mn}^j(g)\psi(g). \quad (4.15)$$

These are analogous to the Cartesian $U(p')$: labelled by a ‘momentum eigenvalue’ jmn , functions of ‘position’ alone. They are unitary in the matrix sense:

$$\sum_m U(jmn)^\dagger U(jmn') = \sum_m U(jnm)^\dagger U(jn'm) = \delta_{n'n} I. \quad (4.16)$$

These operators allow us to express the map $f \in \mathcal{F}(G) \rightarrow \hat{f}$ of eq.(3.5) more explicitly as follows:

$$f(g) = \sum_{jmn} f_{jmn} D_{mn}^j(g) \Rightarrow \hat{f} = \sum_{jmn} f_{jmn} U(jmn). \quad (4.17)$$

Upon conjugation by $V(g)$ we have

$$V(g)^{-1}U(jmn)V(g) = \sum_{m'} D_{mm'}^j(g) U(jm'n). \quad (4.18)$$

Combining eqs.(3.16, 3.20, 4.15) we easily obtain the trace orthonormality property

$$\text{Tr}((U(j'm'n')V(g'))^\dagger U(jmn) V(g)) = N_j^{-1} \delta_{j'j} \delta_{m'm} \delta_{n'n} \delta(g^{-1}g'), \quad (4.19)$$

analogous to eqs.(2.7,2.5). The action of $U(j'm'n')$ on the ‘momentum eigenstates’ $|jmn\rangle$ can be worked out; it involves the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the reduction of direct products of two general UIR’s of G and reads:

$$U(j'm'n')|jmn\rangle = \sum_{j''m''n''\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{N_j}{N_{j''}}} C_{m'mm''}^{j'jj''\lambda} * C_{n'nn''}^{j'jj''\lambda} |j''m''n''\rangle. \quad (4.20)$$

Here λ is a multiplicity index keeping track of the possibly multiple occurrences of the UIR $D^{j''}$ in the reduction of the direct product $D^{j'} \times D^j$. The significance of this relation is similar in spirit to the statement in the Cartesian case that $U(p') = \exp(ip'\hat{q})$ generates a translation in $\hat{p}$, in other words that in the momentum description $\hat{q}$ is given by the differential operator $i \frac{d}{dp}$. The result (4.20) however involves discrete labels since G is compact, unlike continuous Cartesian variables, and incorporates nonabelianness as well. Therefore ‘translating’ the momentum jmn by the ‘amount’ $j'm'n'$ yields several final momenta $j''m''n''$ according to the contents of the direct product $D^{j'} \times D^j$ of UIR’s of G .

Now multiply both sides of eq.(4.14) by $D_{m_1 m'_1}^{j_1}(g)$ and integrate with respect to g , this is ‘Fourier transformation’ with respect to g and gives

$$\langle g' | \int_G dg D_{m_1 m'_1}^{j_1}(g) \hat{W}(g; jmm') | g'' \rangle = D_{mm'}^j(g' g''^{-1}) D_{m_1 m'_1}^{j_1}(s_0(g'' g'^{-1})g'). \quad (4.21)$$

Now perform an ‘inverse Fourier transformation’ with respect to the momenta jmm' to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{jmm'} N_j D_{mm'}^j(g_1)^* \langle g' | \int_G dg D_{m_1 m'_1}^{j_1}(g) \hat{W}(g; jmm') | g'' \rangle, \\ = D_{m_1 m'_1}^{j_1}(s_0(g'' g'^{-1})g') \delta(g_1 g'' g'^{-1}), \\ = D_{m_1 m'_1}^{j_1}(s_0(g_1^{-1})g') \delta(g_1 g'' g'^{-1}), \\ = \langle g' | g_1 g'' \rangle D_{m_1 m'_1}^{j_1}(s_0(g_1^{-1})g'), \\ = \sum_{m_2} \langle g' | U(j_1 m_2 m'_1) V(g_1) | g'' \rangle D_{m_1 m_2}^{j_1}(s_0(g_1^{-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Comparing the two sides and ‘peeling off’ $\langle g' |$ and $| g'' \rangle$ gives:

$$\sum_{jmm'} N_j D_{mm'}^j(g_1)^* \int_G dg D_{m_1 m'_1}^{j_1}(g) \hat{W}(g; jmm') = \sum_{m_2} D_{m_1 m_2}^{j_1}(s_0(g_1^{-1})) U(j_1 m_2 m'_1) V(g_1). \quad (4.23)$$

Then ‘Fourier inversion’ twice yields the result:

$$\hat{W}(g; jmm') = \sum_{j_1 m_1 m_2} N_{j_1} \int_G dg_1 U(j_1 m_2 m_1) V(g_1) D_{mm'}^j(g_1) D_{m_1 m_2}^{j_1}(g^{-1} s_0(g_1^{-1})). \quad (4.24)$$

This may be compared in every detail with the Cartesian result in eq.(2.10): the correspondence of arguments and integration/summation variables is (including the factors representing ‘momentum eigenfunctions’)

$$\begin{aligned} q \rightarrow g, \quad p \rightarrow jmm', \quad q' \rightarrow g_1, \quad p' \rightarrow j_1 m_1 m_2; \\ e^{ipq'} \rightarrow D_{mm'}^j(g_1), \quad e^{-ip'(q+q'/2)} \rightarrow D_{m_1 m_2}^{j_1}(g^{-1} s_0(g_1^{-1})). \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Giving due attention to the new matrix features, the correspondence is quite remarkable.

Combining eqs.(3.28,4.14) we obtain the relation

$$\hat{W}(g; jmn)^\dagger = \hat{W}(g; jnm). \quad (4.26)$$

Similarly combining eqs.(4.24, 4.19) and carrying out quite elementary operations leads to analogues to the Cartesian relations (2.11, 2.15) in the forms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \left(\hat{W}(g'; j' m' n')^\dagger \hat{W}(g; j m n) \right) &= N_j^{-1} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'} \delta(g^{-1} g'), \\ \hat{A} &= \sum_{jmn} N_j \int_G dg W_{\hat{A}}(g; jnm) \hat{W}(g; jmn). \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

We may thus conclude that we have succeeded in setting up a Wigner-Weyl isomorphism for quantum mechanics on a compact simple Lie group with reasonable properties.

V. THE STAR PRODUCT FOR WEYL SYMBOLS

In this Section we sketch the derivation of the expression for noncommutative operator multiplication in terms of the corresponding Weyl symbols, relegating some details to the Appendix. Thus, for two operators $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ we seek an expression for the Weyl symbol of $\hat{A}\hat{B}$ in terms of those of $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ in the form

$$W_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}(g; jmn) = (W_{\hat{A}} * W_{\hat{B}})(g; jmn). \quad (5.1)$$

From eq.(4.13) we have

$$(W_{\hat{A}} * W_{\hat{B}})(g; jmn) = \text{Tr} \left(\hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{W}(g; jmn) \right), \quad (5.2)$$

so using eq.(4.27) for $\hat{A}$ as well as for $\hat{B}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (W_{\hat{A}} * W_{\hat{B}})(g; jmn) &= \sum_{\substack{j'm'n' \\ j''m''n''}} N_{j'} N_{j''} \int_G dg'' \int_G dg' W_{\hat{A}}(g''; j'' n'' m'') W_{\hat{B}}(g'; j' n' m') \times \\ &\quad \text{Tr} \left(\hat{W}(g''; j'' m'' n'') \hat{W}(g'; j' m' n') \hat{W}(g; jmn) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

We therefore need to compute the trace of the product of three $\hat{W}$'s, which is a nonlocal integral kernel defining the (associative but noncommutative) star product on the left hand side. The two ingredients for this calculation are expressions for the product $U(jmn) V(g)$

in terms of $\hat{W}(g'; j'm'n')$, and for the product $U(j'm'n') V(g') U(jmn) V(g)$ in terms of similar products UV . These are:

$$U(jmn) V(g) = \sum_{j'm'n'} N_{j'} D_{m'n'}^{j'}(g)^* \int_G dg' D_{mn}^j(s_0(g)g') \hat{W}(g'; j'm'n'), \quad (5.4a)$$

$$U(j'm'n') V(g') U(jmn) V(g) = \sum_{j''m''n''k} C_{m'n'}^{j'} \begin{smallmatrix} j \\ kn \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} j'' \\ m''n'' \end{smallmatrix} D_{mk}^j(g'^{-1}) \times \\ U(j''m''n'') V(g'g). \quad (5.4b)$$

The derivations are given in the Appendix, and the C -symbol on the right in the second equation is a sum of products of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of the type occurring in eq.(4.20).

Starting from eq.(4.24) and using eq.(5.4b) we have for the product of two $\hat{W}$'s:

$$\hat{W}(g'; j'm'n') \hat{W}(g; jmn) = \sum_{\substack{j_0, m_0, n_0 \\ j'_0 m'_0 n'_0}} N_{j_0} N_{j'_0} \int_G dg_0 \int_G dg'_0 D_{mn}^j(g_0) D_{m'n'}^{j'}(g'_0) \times$$

$$D_{n_0 m_0}^{j_0}(g^{-1} s_0(g_0^{-1})) D_{n'_0 m'_0}^{j'_0}(g'^{-1} s_0(g'_0^{-1})) U(j'_0 m'_0 n'_0) V(g'_0) U(j_0 m_0 n_0) V(g_0)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{j_0 m_0 n_0 k_0 \\ j'_0 m'_0 n'_0 \\ j''_0 m''_0 n''_0}} N_{j_0} N_{j'_0} C_{m'_0 n'_0}^{j'_0} \begin{smallmatrix} j_0 \\ k_0 n_0 \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} j''_0 \\ m''_0 n''_0 \end{smallmatrix} \int_G dg_0 \int_G dg'_0 D_{mn}^j(g_0) D_{m'n'}^{j'}(g'_0) \times$$

$$D_{m_0 k_0}^{j_0}(g_0'^{-1}) D_{n_0 m_0}^{j_0}(g^{-1} s_0(g_0^{-1})) D_{n'_0 m'_0}^{j'_0}(g'^{-1} s_0(g'_0^{-1})) \times$$

$$U(j''_0 m''_0 n''_0) V(g'_0 g_0). \quad (5.5)$$

If here we use eq.(5.4a) and then eq.(4.27) we obtain for the kernel in eq.(5.3):

$$\text{Tr} \left(\hat{W}(g''; j''m''n'') \hat{W}(g'; j'm'n') \hat{W}(g; jmn) \right) = \sum_{\substack{j_0 m_0 n_0 k_0 \\ j'_0 m'_0 n'_0 \\ j''_0 m''_0 n''_0}} N_{j_0} N_{j'_0} C_{m'_0 n'_0}^{j'_0} \begin{smallmatrix} j_0 \\ k_0 n_0 \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} j''_0 \\ m''_0 n''_0 \end{smallmatrix} \times$$

$$\int_G dg_0 \int_G dg'_0 D_{mn}^j(g_0) D_{m'n'}^{j'}(g'_0) D_{n''m''}^{j''}(g'_0 g_0)^* D_{m_0 k_0}^{j_0}(g_0'^{-1}) \times$$

$$D_{n_0 m_0}^{j_0} (g^{-1} s_0 (g_0^{-1})) D_{n'_0 m'_0}^{j'_0} (g'^{-1} s_0 (g'_0^{-1})) D_{m''_0 n''_0}^{j''_0} (s_0 (g'_0 g_0) g''). \quad (5.6)$$

The star product of eq.(5.3) is then obtained by inserting this integral kernel on the right hand side.

A slightly simpler expression - which amounts to trading four of the D -functions for Dirac delta functions - results from direct use of eq.(4.14):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \left(\hat{W}(g''; j'' m'' n'') \hat{W}(g'; j' m' n') \hat{W}(g; j m n) \right) &= \int_G dg_0 \int_G dg'_0 \int_G dg''_0 \times \\ &\langle g_0 | \hat{W}(g''; j'' m'' n'') | g'_0 \rangle \langle g'_0 | \hat{W}(g'; j' m' n') | g''_0 \rangle \langle g''_0 | \hat{W}(g; j m n) | g_0 \rangle \\ &= \int_G dg_0 \int_G dg'_0 \int_G dg''_0 D_{m'' n''}^{j''} (g_0 g'_0^{-1}) D_{m' n'}^{j'} (g'_0 g''_0^{-1}) D_{m n}^j (g''_0 g_0^{-1}) \times \\ &\delta(g''^{-1} s(g_0, g'_0)) \delta(g'^{-1} s(g'_0, g''_0)) \delta(g^{-1} s(g''_0, g_0)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

These expressions for the star product show an unavoidable complexity for general compact nonabelian G . In the one dimensional abelian (but non Cartesian) case $Q = \mathbb{S}^1$, there are some simplifications. Referring to Section II, we have the rule for Weyl symbols given by eqns.(2.25,28):

$$\begin{aligned} a(\theta; m) &= \text{Tr} \left(\hat{A} \hat{W}(\theta; m) \right), \\ \hat{A} &= 2\pi \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta a(\theta; m) \hat{W}(\theta; m). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

The star product then appears as

$$\begin{aligned} (a \star b)(\theta; m) &= \sum_{m', m'' \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta'' \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta' \text{Tr} \left(\hat{W}(\theta''; m'') \hat{W}(\theta'; m') \hat{W}(\theta; m) \right) \times \\ &a(\theta''; m'') b(\theta'; m'), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Tr} \left(\hat{W}(\theta''; m'') \hat{W}(\theta'; m') \hat{W}(\theta; m) \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{m_0, m'_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta'_0 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta_0 e^{\frac{i}{2}(m'_0 \theta_0 - m_0 \theta'_0)} \times$$

$$\exp [i (m\theta_0 - m_0\theta + m'\theta'_0 - m'_0\theta' + (m_0 + m'_0) \theta'' - m'' (\theta_0 + \theta'_0))]. \quad (5.9)$$

This expression for the kernel results from eq.(5.6) if we first drop the magnetic quantum numbers $m, n, m', n', m'', n'', m_0, n_0, k_0, m'_0, n'_0, m''_0, n''_0$; then set the dimensionalities $N_{j_0}, N_{j'_0}$ equal to unity; next make the replacements $j \rightarrow m, j' \rightarrow m', j'' \rightarrow m'', j_0 \rightarrow m_0, j'_0 \rightarrow m'_0, g_0 \rightarrow \theta_0, g'_0 \rightarrow \theta'_0$, and use for the C coefficient the kronecker delta $\delta_{j''_0, m_0+m'_0}$. Even with some simplifications, the kernel in eq.(5.9) remains nonlocal because of (among other things) the occurrence of half angles in the exponent.

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

The characteristic feature revealed by our analysis is that for quantum mechanics on a Lie group G as configuration space, the concept of canonical momentum is a collection of non-commuting operators $\hat{J}_r$, in fact constituting the Lie algebra of the left regular representation of G on $L^2(G)$. This in itself is known, but it results in the analogues of ‘momentum eigenvalue’ being a set of discrete labels jmn , and the single Cartesian momentum eigenvector $|\underline{p}\rangle$ being replaced by a multidimensional set of vectors $\{|jmn\rangle\}$. Other consequences of this non-abelianness should be noted. One needs to work with both overcomplete and with complete non-redundant Weyl symbols for general operators $\hat{A}$: the former are useful for reproducing in a simple manner the two complementary marginal probability distributions associated with a pure or mixed quantum state from its Wigner distribution as shown in eq.(3.23); while the latter lead to the Wigner-Weyl isomorphism in a reasonable manner.

It is interesting that the Weyl symbols $W_{\hat{A}}(g; jmm')$ are not complex valued functions on the classical phase space T^*G . They may be more compactly viewed as follows. Whereas by the Peter-Weyl theorem the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(G)$ carries each UIR $\mathcal{D}^{(j)}(\cdot)$ of G as often as its dimension N_j , the structure of eq.(4.7) leads us to define a ‘smaller’ Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$ carrying each UIR of G exactly once:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_0 &= \sum_j \oplus \mathcal{H}^{(j)} \\
\mathcal{H}^{(j)} &= \text{Sp } \{|jm\rangle\}, \quad \dim \mathcal{H}^{(j)} = N_j, \\
(j'm'|jm) &= \delta_{j'j} \delta_{m'm},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

with $\mathcal{H}^{(j)}$ carrying the UIR $\mathcal{D}^{(j)}(\cdot)$ of G . Then the Weyl symbol of a general operator $\hat{A}$, $W_{\hat{A}}(g; jmm')$, may be regarded as a function of $g \in G$ and an operator on $\mathcal{H}_0$, but with the crucial property that it is block diagonal with respect to the decomposition (6.1) of $\mathcal{H}_0$. This is evident from the examples of Weyl symbols given in eq.(4.11); in the Cartesian case in eq.(4.12) such features are of course absent. This can be understood also from the following point of view. In the normal quantum description an operator $\hat{A}$ on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(G)$ can be given via its kernel $\langle g''|\hat{A}|g' \rangle$, or via its complementary diagonal plus off diagonal matrix elements $\langle j'm'n'|\hat{A}|jmn \rangle$. If in the latter we ‘trade’ half the labels for a dependence on a group element g , we arrive at the Weyl symbol $W_{\hat{A}}(g; jmm')$ viewed as a block diagonal operator on $\mathcal{H}_0$ with simultaneously a dependence on g . Thus while the Wigner-Weyl isomorphism does not work directly with the true classical phase space T^*G , it seems to use what may be called a non-commutative cotangent space, standing somewhere between T^*G and operators on $L^2(G)$.

Nevertheless the link to functions on the classical phase space T^*G can be established, as we will see below.

We may use the phrase ‘semiquantised phase space’ for the space on which the Weyl symbols $W_{\hat{A}}(g; jmn)$ of operators $\hat{A}$ are defined. It is to be understood that this phrase includes the restriction that only (g -dependent) block-diagonal operators on $\mathcal{H}_0$ are encountered. This may be viewed as a superselection rule. In detail, given an operator $\hat{A}$ on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(G)$, we associate with it the g -dependent block-diagonal operator

$$\tilde{A}(g) = \sum_j \sum_{m,n} \sqrt{N_j} W_{\hat{A}}(g; jmn) |jm\rangle \langle jn|, \tag{6.2}$$

acting on $\mathcal{H}_0$, and we then have the connection

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(\hat{A}\hat{B}) = \int_G dg \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_0}(\tilde{A}(g) \tilde{B}(g)). \quad (6.3)$$

The Weyl symbol $\tilde{A}(g)$ is simpler than $\hat{A}$ both in that it acts on the much smaller Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$, and in that it is block-diagonal.

To finally establish the link to suitable functions on the classical phase space T^*G , we exploit both the fact that the representation of G on $\mathcal{H}_0$ has a multiplicity-free reduction into UIR's, and the fact that $\tilde{A}(g)$ is block-diagonal. Let us denote the generators of G on $\mathcal{H}_0$ by $\hat{J}_r^{(0)}$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The Weyl symbol $\tilde{A}(g)$ may initially be written as the direct sum of symbols $\tilde{A}_j(g)$ acting within each subspace $\mathcal{H}^{(j)}$ in $\mathcal{H}_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}(g) &= \sum_j \oplus \tilde{A}_j(g), \\ \tilde{A}_j(g) &= \sum_{m,n} \sqrt{N_j} W_{\hat{A}}(g; jmn) |jm\rangle(jn|. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Next, using the irreducibility of $\{\hat{J}_r^{(0)}\}$ acting on $\mathcal{H}^{(j)}$, we can expand $\tilde{A}_j(g)$ uniquely as a sum of symmetrised polynomials in $\hat{J}_r^{(0)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_j(g) &= \sum_{N=0,1,\dots} \sum_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N} a_{r_1 \dots r_N}(g; j) \left\{ \hat{J}_{r_1}^{(0)} \hat{J}_{r_2}^{(0)} \dots \hat{J}_{r_N}^{(0)} \right\}_S^{(j)}, \\ \left\{ \hat{J}_{r_1}^{(0)} \hat{J}_{r_2}^{(0)} \dots \hat{J}_{r_N}^{(0)} \right\}_S^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{P \in S_N} \left(\hat{J}_{r_{P(1)}}^{(0)} \dots \hat{J}_{r_{P(N)}}^{(0)} \right)_S^{(j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Here the upper limit of N is determined by the UIR D^j ; S_N is the permutation group on N symbols; and the superscript (j) denotes the restriction to $\mathcal{H}^{(j)}$. The coefficients $a_{r_1 \dots r_N}(g; j)$ are c -number quantities symmetric in $r_1 \dots r_N$. If we now replace their j dependences by dependences on the independent mutually commuting Casimir operators $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ of G , themselves symmetric homogeneous polynomials in $\hat{J}_r^{(0)}$, we can use (6.5) in (6.4) and write:

$$\tilde{A}(g) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r_1 \dots r_N} a_{r_1 \dots r_N}(g; \hat{\mathcal{C}}) \left\{ \hat{J}_{r_1}^{(0)} \dots \hat{J}_{r_N}^{(0)} \right\}_S. \quad (6.6)$$

This expression for the Weyl symbol $\tilde{A}(g)$ of $\hat{A}$ can now be put into one-to-one correspondence with the classical phase space function

$$a(g; J) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r_1 \dots r_N} a_{r_1 \dots r_N}(g; \mathcal{C}) J_{r_1} \dots J_{r_N}, \quad (6.7)$$

where the commuting classical variables J_r are the canonical momentum coordinates of the classical phase space T^*G [21], while $\mathcal{C}$ are invariant (Casimir) homogeneous polynomials in them. Thus we have the two-stage sequence of correspondences

$$\hat{A} \text{ on } \mathcal{H} = L^2(G) \iff \tilde{A}(g) = \text{block-diagonal operator on } \mathcal{H}_0 \longleftrightarrow a(g; J) \in \mathcal{F}(T^*G). \quad (6.8)$$

The importance of the multiplicity-free nature of the representation of G on $\mathcal{H}_0$, and the super selection rule, is evident. In contrast to the Cartesian case in Section II, the appearance of the semi-quantised phase space as an intermediate step is to be noted. We hope to return to this aspect in a future publication.

Appendix

We indicate here the derivations of eqs.(5.4a,b). For eq.(5.4a), we begin with eq.(4.23) and use the unitarity of the D -matrices to shift the D -matrix on the right to the left. This immediately gives eq.(5.4a). For eq.(5.4b) we begin with the decomposition of the product of two U 's; from eq.(4.15), using eq.(A.29) in [21],

$$\begin{aligned} U(j'm'n')U(jmn)|g\rangle &= D_{m'n'}^{j'}(g) D_{mn}^j(g)|g\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j''m''n''\lambda} C_{m' m}^{j' j} C_{n' n}^{j'' \lambda^*} C_{m'' n''}^{j'' \lambda} D_{m'' n''}^{j''}(g)|g\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Here the C 's are the usual Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the decomposition of the direct product $D^{j'} \times D^j$ of two UIR's into UIR's $D^{j''}$, with a multiplicity index λ to keep track of multiple occurrences of a given $D^{j''}$. If we introduce the shorthand notation

$$C_{m'n' mn}^{j' j} C_{m'' n''}^{j'' \lambda^*} = \sum_{\lambda} C_{m' m}^{j' j} C_{n' n}^{j'' \lambda^*} C_{m'' n''}^{j'' \lambda}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

we get from (A.1):

$$U(j'm'n')U(jmn) = \sum_{j''m''n''} C_{m'n' mn}^{j' j} C_{m'' n''}^{j'' \lambda^*} U(j''m''n''). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

We can now tackle the product of four factors in eq.(5.4b). First using eqs.(3.7,4.18) and then using (A.3) above gives:

$$\begin{aligned} U(j'm'n')V(g')U(jmn)V(g) &= U(j'm'n') \sum_k D_{mk}^j(g'^{-1}) U(jkn)V(g'g) \\ &= \sum_{j''m''n''k} D_{mk}^j(g'^{-1}) C_{m'n' kn}^{j' j} C_{m'' n''}^{j'' \lambda^*} U(j''m''n'') V(g'g), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

which is eq.(5.4b).

REFERENCES

- [1] E. P. Wigner, Phys. Rev. **40**, 749 (1932); For a comprehensive review see M. Hillery, R. F. O'Connell, M. O. Scully and E. P. Wigner, Phys. Rep. **106**, 121 (1984); V. I. Tatarskii Soviet Phys. Uspekhi **26**, 311 (1983) and also Y. S. Kim and M. E. Noz, *Phase Space Picture of Quantum Mechanics*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991); W. P. Schleich *Quantum Optics in Phase Space*, (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2001).
- [2] H. Weyl, Z. Phys. **46**, 1 (1927); *The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics* (Dover, New York, 1950).
- [3] J. E. Moyal, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **45**, 99 (1949); H. Groenewold, Physica **12**, 405 (1946).
- [4] For an elementary introduction to deformation quantization see A. C. Hirshfeld and P. Herselder, Am. J. Phys. **70**, 537 (2002); J. Hancock, M. A. Walton and B. Wynder, arXiv:physics/0405029 v1. See also A. P. Balachandran, B. P. Dolan, J. Lee, X. Martin, and D. O'Connor, J. Geom. Phys. **43**, 184 (2002) and references therein.
- [5] R. L. Stratonovich, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **31**, 1012 (1956) (Engl. Transl. Sov. Phys.-JETP, **4**, 891 (1957)).
- [6] G. S. Agarwal, Phys. Rev. A **24**, 2889 (1981); G. S. Agarwal, Phys. Rev. A **47**, 4608 (1993); J. P. Dowling, G. S. Agarwal and W. P. Schleich, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 4101 (1994).
- [7] J. C. Várilly and J. M. Gracia-Bondía, Ann. Phys. NY, **190** 107 (1989).
- [8] K. B. Wolf, Opt Commun. **132**, 343 (1996).
- [9] D. M. Kaplan and G. C. Summerfield, Phys. Rev. **187**, 639 (1969).
- [10] C. Fronsdal, Rep. Math. Phys. **15**, 111 (1979).
- [11] C. Moreno and P. Ortega-Navarro, Lett. Math. Phys. **7**, 181 (1983).
- [12] R. Gilmore, *Lecture Notes in Physics*, **278**, ed. Y. S. Kim and W. W. Zachary, (Springer,

- Berlin 1987), p 211; W-M. Zhang, D. H. Feng and R. Gilmore, Rev. Mod. Phys. **62**, 867 (1990).
- [13] U. Leonhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4101 (1995); U. Leonhardt, Phys. Rev. A **53**, 2998 (1996).
- [14] C. Brif and A. Mann, J. Phys. A **31**, L9 (1998); Phys.Rev. A **59**, 971 (1999).
- [15] N. M. Atakishiyev, S. M. Chumakov, and K. B. Wolf, J. Math. Phys. **39**, 6247 (1998); N. M. Nieto, N. M. Atakishiyev, S. M. Chumakov, and K. B. Wolf, J. Phys. A **16**, 3875 (1998); S. T. Ali, N. M. Atakishiyev, S. M. Chumakov, and K.B. Wolf, Ann. Henri Poincaré **1**, 685 (2000); M. A. Alonso, G.S. Pogosyan, and K. B. Wolf, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 5857 (2002).
- [16] A.J. Bracken, D. Ellinas, and J.G. Wood, *Group theory and quasiprobability integrals of Wigner functions*, quant-ph/0304010.
- [17] N. Mukunda, Pramana **11**, 1 (1978).
- [18] N. Mukunda, Am. J. Phys. **47**, 182 (1979).
- [19] W. K. Wootters, Ann. Phys. NY **176**, 1 (1987).
- [20] W. K. Wootters, quant-ph/0306135 (2003), quant-ph/0406032 (2004) .
- [21] N. Mukunda, Arvind, S. Chaturvedi, R., Simon, J. Math. Phys. **45**, 114 (2004).
- [22] N. Mukunda, S. Chaturvedi, R., Simon, Phys. Lett A **321**, 160 (2004).